BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10084834 0

MATERIALS ON INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

Copyright © 1970, 1975, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, 1983

by W.L. Hayhurst

Seventh Edition

1983

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

LAW LIBRARY

OCT 14 1983

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

MATERIALS ON INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

Copyright (C) 1970, 1975, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, 1983

by W.L. Hayhurst

Seventh Edition

1983

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/materialsonindus00hayh_6

FACULTY OF LAW - UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

1984 Syllabus

COPYRIGHT:

References:
Fox, "The Canadian Law of Copyright and Industrial Designs", 2nd ed. (1967)
Copinger & Skone James, "Copyright", 12th ed. (1980)
Laddie, Prescott & Vitoria, "The Modern Law of Copyright" (1980)
Lahore, "Intellectual Property in Australia: Copyright" (1977)
Nimmer, "Copyright" (1979)

(1) Corporeal works and incorporeal copyrights:

B1
Canadian Admiral v. Rediffusion, [1954] Ex. C.R. 382
Re Dickens, [1935] 1 Ch. 267
Hay v. Sloan, (1958), 12 D.L.R. (2d) 397
Meikle v. Maufe [1941] 3 All E.R. 144
Netupsky v. Dominion Bridge, [1972] S.C.R. 368

(2) The Berne and Universal Copyright Conventions:

B3
Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30
Ludlow v. Canint, [1967] 2 Ex. C.R. 109

(3) Authorship and ownership:

B50
Donoghue v. Allied, [1938] 1 Ch. 106, 109-110
Wood v. Boosey, (1867) L.R. 2. Q.B. 346
Hartnett v. Pinkett, (1953), 103 L.J. 204

(4) Subject matter:

Redwood v. Chappell, [1982] R.P.C. 1
British Leyland v. Armstrong, [1982] F.S.R. 481
B52
Francis, Day & Hunter v. Twentieth Century Fox, [1939] 4 D.L.R 353, 358-361
Exxon v. Exxon Insurance Consultants [1982] R.P.C 69
B56
Ladbroke v. Hill, [1964] 1 All E.R. 465, 469, 475-478
British Northrup v. Texteam, [1974] R.P.C. 57, 68
CAPAC v. CTV, [1968] S.C.R. 676
Cuisenaire v. South West Imports, [1969] S.C.R. 208

(5) Originality:

B62 Football League v. Littlewoods, [1959] 2 All E.R. 546, 552, 555-556
B65 Deeks v. Wells, [1930] 4 D.L.R. 513, 522; affd. [1931] 4 D.L.R. 533, 547; affd. [1933] 1 D.L.R. 353, 356-357
B68 Macmillan v. Cooper, (1923), 40 T.L.R. 186, 188
B69 Walter v. Lane, [1900] A.C. 539, 548-9, 556
Lipman v. Massachusetts, (1973), 475 F. 2d 565
Roberton v. Lewis, [1976] R.P.C. 169, 175
Time v. Geis, (1968), 293 F. Supp. 130
Batlin v. Snyder, (1976), 189 U.S.P.Q. 753

(6) Infringement

Performing Right Society v. Rangers, [1975] R.P.C. 626
Warner Bros. v. C.E.S.M., (1971), 65 C.P.R. 215
Vigneux v. Canadian Performing Right, [1943] S.C.R. 348, 361; revd. [1945] A.C. 108
C.R.T.C. v. Shellbird, (1982), 60 C.P.R. (2d) 215, revd. (1983), 67 C.P.R. (2d) 148
Merchant Adventurers v. Grew, [1973] R.P.C. 1, 10
Francis, Day & Hunter v. Bron, [1963] 2 All E.R. 16
L.B. (Plastics) v. Swish, [1979] R.P.C. 551
B73 Hanfstaengl v. Baines, [1895] A.C. 20, 30-31
Solar Thompson v. Barton, [1977] R.P.C. 537
Hawkes v. Paramount, [1934] 1 Ch. 593
B76 Cartwright v. Wharton, (1912), 25 O.L.R. 357, 362-363
Elanco v. Mandops, [1980] R.P.C. 213
Chilton v. Progress, [1895] 2 Ch. D. 29
Hoehling v. Universal, (1980), 205 U.S.P.Q. 681
Miller v. Universal, (1982), 212 U.S.P.Q. 345
Ravenscroft v. Herbert, [1980] R.P.C. 193
B78 Harman v. Osborne, [1967] 2 All E.R. 324, 328
B79 Nichols v. Universal Pictures, (1930), 45 F. 2d 119, 121
Universal v. Kamar, (1983), 217 U.S.P.Q. 1162
Holland v. Van Damm, [1936] MacG. Cop. Cas. 69
B80 Kantel v. Grant [1933] Ex. C.R. 84, 87, 94-96
B84 Moreau v. St. Vincent, [1950] Ex. C.R. 198, 204-205, 207
B87 Bauman v. Fussell, [1978] R.P.C. 485
Williams & Wilkins v. U.S., (1974), 487 F. 2d 1345, affd. (1975), 95 S. Ct. 1344
Universal City Studios v. Sony, (1981), 211 U.S.P.Q. 761
Moorhouse v. University of New South Wales, [1976] R.P.C. 151
CBS v. Ames, [1981] R.P.C. 407
RCA v. Fairfax, [1981] 1 N.S.W.L.R. 251
A & M Records v. Audio Magnetics, [1979] F.S.R. 1
Winstone v. Wurlitzer, [1946] V.L.R. 338
Hubbard v. Vosper [1972] All E.R. 1023, 1026-1028, 1031
Beloff v. Pressdram, [1973] R.P.C. 765, 783-784
The Queen v. Lorimer, (1983) C.P.R. (2d)
Gnass v. Cite d'Alma (P.Q.C.A., June 30, 1977)
Snow v. Eaton, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 105

2. INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS:

References: Fox, "Canadian Law of Copyright", 2nd ed. (1967), pp. 650-691
Russell-Clarke, "Copyright in Industrial Designs" 5th ed. (1974)

(1) Subject matter:

C1 35 U.S. Code, s. 171
C7 Industrial Design Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. I-8
C15 Cimen v. Bench Made, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 811, 828-833, 845-847
C24 Clatworthy v. Dale, [1929] S.C.R. 429, 434-5
C26 Hensher v. Restawile, [1975] R.P.C. 31, 64, 62
Stenor v. Whitesides, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 1, 9
C29 Amp v. Utilux, [1972] R.P.C. 103, 197-110, 113
C33 Re Clarke's Design, (1896) 13 R.P.C. 351, 358, 361
King Features v. Kleeman, [1941] A.C. 417, 427
C35 Industrial Designs Rules, C.R.C. 1978, c. 964, s. 11
Ware v. Anglo-Italian (No. 1), [1922] MacG. C.C. 346
L.B. (Plastics) v. Swish Products, [1979] R.P.C. 551
Mazer v. Stein, (1954), 347 U.S. 201

(2) Novelty and originality:

C36 Saunders v. Weil, (1893), 10 R.P.C. 29, 33
C37 Dean's Rag Book v. Pomerantz, (1930), 47 R.P.C. 485, 491
C38 Rosedale v. Airfix, [1957] R.P.C. 239, 244
C39 Kilvington v. Goldberg, (1957), 16 Fox Pat. C. 164
Valor v. Main Gas, [1973] R.P.C. 871, 877

(3) Infringement:

R. v. Premier Cutlery, (1981), 55 C.P.R. (2d) 134
C45 Re Bayer's Design, (1907), 24 R.P.C. 65, 76-77
Phillips v. Harbro, (1920), 37 R.P.C. 233, 239-240
C47 Walker v. Scott, (1892), 9 R.P.C. 482, 485
Toy Loader Application, (1978), 36 C.P.R. (2d) 234

(4) Registration:

Melnor v. Lido, [1971] S.C.R. 72

3. PATENTS

References: Fox, "Canadian Patent Law and Practice" 4th ed. (1969)
Terrell, "Patents", 13th ed. (1982)
Blanco White, "Encyclopedia of United Kingdom and European Patent Law"

(1) Introduction:

A1 Statute of Monopolies, 21 Jac. I c. 3 s. 6; R.S.O. 1897 c. 232, s. 5; R.S.O. 1980, Appendix A, p. 3

A13 Patent Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. P-4
A59 British United Shoe v. Fussell, (1908), 25 R.P.C. 631, 649-652

(2) Subject matter:

Lane-Fox v. Kensington, (1892), 9 R.P.C. 413, 416
A63 G.E.C.'s Application, (1943), 60 R.P.C. 1, 4
A64 IBM's Application, [1980] F.S.R. 564, 568-569, 572-573
A68 35 U.S.C. 100-104
A70 Re Walter, (1980), 205 U.S.P.Q. 397
A84 Diamond v. Diehr, (1981), 209 U.S.P.Q. 1, 4-10
Diamond v. Bradley, (1979), 202 U.S.P.Q. 480, affd. (1981), 209 U.S.P.Q. 97
A91 Re Application No. 096,284, (1981), 52 C.P.R. (2d) 96
A108 Schlumberger v. Commissioner, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 204
A112 Re Bergy, (1979), 201 U.S.P.Q. 352, 360-369, 371-373
Diamond v. Chakrabarty, (1980), 206 U.S.P.Q. 193
A121 Abitibi's Application, (1982), 62 C.P.R. (2d) 81
A122 "Utility and Non-Statutory Subject Matter", Canadian Patent Office Manual of Patent Office Practice, Chapter 12
Tennessee Eastman v. Commissioner, [1974] S.C.R. 111
Organon's Application, (1974), 15 C.P.R. (2d) 273
Wellcome's Application, [1981] F.S.R. 72
Organon's Application, [1970] R.P.C. 574
Eli Lilly's Application, [1975] R.P.C. 438

(3) The patent specification:

A126 Natural Colour v. Bioschemes, (1915), 32 R.P.C. 256, 269-270
A128 American Cyanamid v. Ethicon, [1979] R.P.C. 215, 245-246, 251-255, 261.
Minerals Separation v. Noranda Mines, (1952), 69 R.P.C. 81, 92-93
A135 B.V.D. v. Canadian Celanese, [1937] S.C.R. 221, 230, 233, 236-237
Leithiser v. Pengo, [1974] 2 F.C. 954
Farbwerke Hoechst v. Gilbert, [1966] S.C.R. 189

(4) Novelty:

A140 35 U.S. Code, s. 104
A141 Electric and Musical Industries v. Lissen, (1939), 56 R.P.C. 23, 39, 41-43
Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing v. Bondina [1973] R.P.C. 491, 521
Bristol-Myers' Application, [1975] R.P.C. 127
Gibney v. Ford, (1968), 52 C.P.R. 140

(5) Obviousness:

A145 35 U.S. Code, s. 103
Graham v. John Deere, (1965), 383 U.S. 1
A146 Xerox v. IBM, (1977), 33 C.P.R. (2d) 24, 32-37, 61-62, 82

A155 Technograph v. Mills, [1969] R.P.C. 395, 404-5, 407-8; affd. [1972] R.P.C. 346, 355
A159 Rado v. Tye, [1969] F.S.R. 563, 565-6
A161 General Tire v. Firestone, [1971] R.P.C. 173, 232, 245-6; affd. [1972] R.P.C. 457, 482-483, 485-486, 499-500
I.C.I.'s Application, [1977] F.S.R. 434
Farbwerke Hoechst v. Halocarbon, (1979), 42 C.P.R. (2d) 145, 153-156
Baxter v. Cutter, (1981), 52 C.P.R. (2d) 163
Procter & Gamble v. Calgon, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 214, 240
Re Antle, (1971), 170 U.S.P.Q. 285
Dow's Patent, [1975] R.P.C. 165, 169
A168 Wood v. Gowshall, (1937), 54 R.P.C. 37, 40

(6) Utility:

Société Rhone-Poulenc v. Gilbert, (1967), 35 Fox Pat. C. 174; affd. [1968] S.C.R. 950
Monsanto v. Commissioner [1979] 2 S.C.R. 1108
Burton Parsons v. Hewlett-Packard, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 555
Norton & Gregory v. Jacobs, (1937), 54 R.P.C. 271
Metalliflex v. Rodi & Wienenberger, [1961] S.C.R. 117, 121-122

(7) Infringement:

A169 Henriksen v. Tallon, [1965] R.P.C. 434, 443, 445
A173 McPhar v. Sharpe, [1956-1960] Ex. C.R. 467
A174 Catnic v. Hill, [1981] F.S.R. 60
A183 Wilderman v. Berk, (1925), 42 R.P.C. 79, 88
Beecham v. Bristol, [1977] F.S.R. 215
Morton-Norwich v. Intercen, [1976] F.S.R. 513, 521-526
Kalman v. PCL Packaging, [1982] F.S.R. 406
A184 35 U.S. Code, s. 271; UK Patents Act, 1977, s. 60
Copeland-Chatterson v. Hatton, (1906), 10 Ex. C.R. 224; affd. (1906), 37 S.C.R. 251
A185 Slater v. Payer, (1968), 38 Fox Pat. C. 139, 157, 163-4
A188 Procter & Gamble v. Bristol-Myers, (1979), 39 C.P.R. (2d) 145, 165-170; affd. 42 C.P.R. (2d) 33
Solar Thomson v. Barton, [1977] R.P.C. 537

(8) Remedies:

City of Milwaukee v. Activated Sludge, (1934), 69 F. 2d 577
Armstrong v. Domco, (1983), 66 C.P.R. (2d) 46

(9) Statutory curtailments:

Bristol-Myers v. Beecham, (1980), 48 C.P.R. (2d) 87
Formea v. Polymer, [1968] S.C.R. 754
Libby-Owens-Ford v. Ford, [1969], 1 Ex. C.R. 529; affd. [1970] S.C.R. 833
Peterson v. Plastiseal, (1973), 8 C.P.R. (2d) 222; (1974), 14 C.P.R. (2d) 48, 52

A194 Procter & Gamble v. Bristol-Myers, (1979), 39 C.P.R. (2d) 145, affd. (1979), 42 C.P.R. (2d) 33, 35
Procter & Gamble v. Calgon, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 214, 243
Lido v. Teledyne, (1981), 57 C.P.R. (2d) 29

4. RESTRAINTS ON COMPETITION:

(1) Sales sub modo:

Badische v. Isler, (1906), 23 R.P.C. 173, 180
E1 Incandescent v. Cantelo, (1895), 12 R.P.C. 262, 264-265
E3 National Phonograph v. Menck, (1911), 28 R.P.C. 229, 245-6

(2) U.S. and U.K. developments:

Hazeltine v. Zenith, (1969), 395 U.S. 100
Pfizer v. F.T.C., (1968), 401 F. 2d 574
Motion Picture Patents v. Universal, (1917), 243 U.S. 502
E5 Morton Salt v. Suppiger, (1942), 314 U.S. 488
British Leyland v. Armstrong, [1983] F.S.R. 50

(3) Enforceability of restraints:

Darcy v. Allein, (1602), 77 E.R. 1260, 1263
E6 Copeland-Chatterson v. Hatton, (1906), 10 Ex. C.R. 224, 237; affd. 37 S.C.R. 651
Mouchel v. Cubitt, (1907), 24 R.P.C. 194
E7 Tool Metal v. Tungsten, (1955), 72 R.P.C. 209, 214, 218

E10 (4) The Combines Investigation Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-23 as amended:

Rocois v. Quebec Ready Mix, [1980] 1 F.C. 184
Seiko Time v. Consumers Distributing, (1980) 29 O.R. (2d) 221
Henuset v. Syncrude, [1980] 6 W.W.R. 218
Tank Lining v. Dunlop, (1983), 40 O.R. (2d) 219

(5) Defences to infringement actions:

Rodi v. Watchstraps, (1958), 18 Fox Pat. C. 75
E17 RBM v. Philips, (1973), 9 C.P.R. (2d) 46; 10 C.P.R. (2d) 23
Amoco v. Texaco, [1976) 1 F.C. 258
Eli Lilly v. Marzone, (1977), 29 C.P.R. (2d) 253
Time-Life v. Interstate, [1978] F.S.R. 251, 286-287

6. TRADE SECRETS & BREACH OF CONFIDENCE:

References: Turner, "The Law of Trade Secrets, (1962)
Fox, "The Canadian Law of Trade Marks and Unfair Competition", 3rd ed. (1972), Chapter 13

(1) Establishment of a contractual or confidential relationship:

D1 Triplex v. Scorah, (1938), 55 R.P.C. 21, 28

Canadian Aero Services v. O'Malley, [1974] S.C.R. 592; Comment, 28 C.P.R. (2d) 68
United Sterling v. Felton, [1974] R.P.C. 162
Cranleigh v. Bryant, [1966] R.P.C. 81
Hivac v. Park Royal, [1946] Ch. 169
D2 Saltman v. Campbell, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 203, 211-6
Shellmar v. Allen-Qualley, (1929), 36 F. 2d 623
D8 Coco v. Clark, [1969] R.P.C. 41, 46-50
Distillers v. Times, [1975] 1 All E.R. 41
Canadian Javelin v. Sparling, (1982) 59 C.P.R. (2d) 146, 154, 156, 160, 165
Kewanee v. Bicron, (1974), 416 U.S. 470

(2) The nature of the information sought to be protected:

Thomas Marshall v. Guinle, [1979] F.S.R. 208
Interfirm Comparison v. Law Society, [1977] R.P.C. 137
Exchange Telegraph v. Central News, [1897] 2 Ch. 48
Ansell v. Allied Rubber, [1972] R.P.C. 811
Nicrotherm v. Percy, [1957] R.P.C. 207
Talbot v. General Television, [1981] R.P.C. 1
Church of Scientology v. Kaufman, [1973] R.P.C. 635, 649, 658

(3) Persons subject to liability:

D14 Seager v. Copydex, [1967] R.P.C. 349, 367-8, 371
Weld-Blundell v. Stephens, [1920] A.C. 956
Lord Ashburton v. Pape, [1913] 2 Ch. 469
Morison v. Moat, (1851), 9 Hare 241
Lonhro v. Shell, [1981] 2 All E.R. 456
British Industrial Plastics v. Ferguson, (1941), 58 R.P.C. 1
Colgate v. Carter, (1956), 230 F. 2d 855, 864
D17 Printers v. Holloway, [1965] R.P.C. 239, 252-253
D19 Restatement of Torts, s. 758
D20 Conmar v. Universal, (1949), 172 F. 2d 150, 156
D21 Stevenson v. McDonald, (1951), 68 R.P.C. 190, 195; (1952), 69 R.P.C. 10, 16
Abernethy v. Hutchison, (1825), 1 H. & Tw. 28
De Acosta v. Brown, (1944), 146 F. 2d 408
DuPont v. Christopher, (1970), 431 F. 2d 1012
Franklin v. Giddins, [1978] Qd. R. 72
Malone v. Commissioner of Police, [1979] 2 All E.R. 620, 638, 644-646
Saccone v. Orr, September 11, 1981, Jacob Co. Ct. J.

(4) Duration of obligations:

Aronson v. Quick Point, (1979), 201 U.S.P.Q. 1
Regina Glass Fibre v. Schuller, [1972] R.P.C. 229
Mustad v. Dosen, [1963] R.P.C. 41
Peter Pan v. Corsets Silhouette, [1963] R.P.C. 45
Schering v. Falkman, [1981] 2 W.L.R. 848
House of Spring Garden v. Point Blank, [1983] F.S.R. 213
Reliable Toy v. Collins, (1950), 13 C.P.R. 53

Bee Chemical v. Plastic Paint, (1980) 47 C.P.R. (2d) 133
Winston v. M.M.M., (1965), 350 F. 2d 134
Underwater Storage v. U.S. Rubber, (1966), 371 F. 2d 950
Dunford v. Johnson, [1978] F.S.R. 145
Woodword v. Hutchins, [1977] 2 All E.R. 751
Parry-Jones v. Law Society, [1969] 1 Ch. 1